

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,466	02/20/2004	Yakov Roizin	TSL-135	7443
22888	7590 08/24/2006		EXAMINER	
BEVER HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP			SCHILLINGER, LAURA M	
	I-VALLEY OFFICE 32 CONCANNON BLVD., BLDG. G		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LIVERMORE, C	•		2813	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
0.55	10/783,466	ROIZIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura M. Schillinger	2813
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orecast and application.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is required in the drawing(s) is objected to be added to the drawing the edition is required to the drawing the edition is required to the drawing the edition is required to the edition i	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies o	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ohtani et al (US 20030157758).

Ohtani teaches the following claimed limitations as cited below:

1. A method for making an embedded semiconductor memory device comprising: forming one or more diffusion bit line regions in a semiconductor substrate (Fig. 65 (3)); then thermally oxidizing the upper surface of the semiconductor substrate, thereby forming a bottom oxide layer over the upper surface of the semiconductor substrate and simultaneously forming bit line oxide regions over each of the one or more diffusion bit line regions (Fig. 65 (5) and (0010))and

then forming an intermediate dielectric layer over the bottom oxide layer and the bit line oxide regions (Fig.63 (9 and 20).

Art Unit: 2813

- 2. The method of Claim 1, wherein the intermediate dielectric layer comprises silicon nitride (ONO film 9- Fig.63).
- 3. The method of Claim 1, further comprising depositing a top dielectric layer over the intermediate dielectric layer using a chemical vapor deposition process (0060).
- 4. The method of Claim 3, wherein the top dielectric layer is formed by depositing high-temperature silicon oxide (0087-thermal oxide 20).
- 5. The method of Claim 3, wherein the top dielectric layer is a high dielectric material, having a dielectric constant equal to 4 or greater (oxide/nitride).
- 6. The method of Claim 3, wherein the top dielectric layer is deposited at a temperature of about 750 to 850 degrees C (0068- thermal oxidation is carried out at such a temperature range).
- 7. The method of Claim further comprising implanting CMOS well regions through the intermediate dielectric layer and the bottom oxide layer in a first region of the semiconductor substrate (0070-71).
- 8. The method of Claim further comprising: removing the intermediate dielectric layer and the bottom oxide layer in the first region of the semiconductor substrate (0110); and then depositing

a top dielectric layer over the nitride layer and the first region of the semiconductor substrate

Page 4

using a chemical vapor deposition process (0111).

9. The method of Claim further comprising fabricating one or more high-voltage transistors in

the first region of the semiconductor substrate, wherein the high-voltage transistors use the top

dielectric layer as a gate dielectric layer (Fig.63 (33- becomes the gate- 20 is the gate dielectric).

10. The method of Claim 8, further comprising forming a sacrificial oxide layer over the first

region of the semiconductor substrate after removing the intermediate dielectric layer and the

bottom oxide layer, but before depositing the top dielectric layer (0109)

11. The method of Claim 9, further comprising fabricating one or more low-voltage transistors in

the first region of the semiconductor substrate, wherein each of the low voltage logic transistors

have a gate dielectric layer thinner than the top dielectric layer (Fig.63 (20)).

12. The method of Claim 1, further comprising forming shallow trench isolation regions in the

semiconductor substrate prior forming the one or more diffusion bit line regions in the

semiconductor substrate (Fig.63 (10).

15. The method of Claim 1, further comprising:

forming a conductive layer over the top dielectric layer(Fig.63 (33);

Art Unit: 2813

patterning the conductive layer to define a plurality of word lines that extend over the bit line oxide regions and the bottom oxide layer(0098); and removing the top dielectric layer and intermediate dielectric layer located between the plurality of word lines (Fig.51 (11)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtani et al (2003/0157758).

In reference to claims 13 and 14, Ohtani teaches the method of Claim; however fails to teach wherein each of the bit line oxide regions has a thickness that is about 1.5 to 3 times larger than a thickness of the bottom oxide layer (claim 13) and further fails to teach wherein each bit line oxide region has a thickness in the range of about 50 to Angstroms (claim 14).

These claims are prima facie obvious without showing that the claimed ranges achieve unexpected results relative to the prior art range. In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1935, 1937 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See also In re Huang, 40 USPQ2d 1685, 1688(Fed. Cir. 1996)(claimed ranges of a result effective variable, which do not overlap the prior art ranges, are unpatentable unless they

Art Unit: 2813

produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely in degree from the results of the prior art). See also In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA) (discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art) and In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955) (selection of optimum ranges within prior art general conditions is obvious).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/20/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments stress that the claims require sequential steps, by the "then" statements provided at the end of each limitations of the claim. Applicant argues that such a sequence is not taught by Ohtani- this is not persuasive, layer 3 is formed before layer 5, which is formed before layer 9 as described in detail in paragraphs 0010 and 0011. Moreover, Applicant argues that layer 9 and 20 should constitute a 103 rejection, this is not persuasive because layers 9 and 20 are taught in the prior art figure moreover a 102 rejection may be made if the teachings are found within the four corners of the reference.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M. Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, R-F 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl W. Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lacera Mifeles

Laura M Schillinger Primary Examiner Art Unit 2813 Application/Control Number: 10/783,466

Art Unit: 2813

08/15/06

Page 8